

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name USFS - Klamath/Modoc NF - Patrol District
- b. Organizational Unit
- c. Address 1312 Fairlane Rd.
- e. City Yreka State CA Zip 96097
- f. Federal Id Number 53-0841446 DUNS Number
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and January-01 day)
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☐ County ☐ U.S. Forest Service
- ☒ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☐ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☐ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-02-26-L01	Law Enforcement	25,000	9,000	34,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700330

3. Contact

a. Project Administrator

Name	Gary Carr					
Title	Others					
Mailing Address	1312 Fairlane Road					
City	Yreka	State	Ca	Zip	96097	
Telephone	(530) 598-4113			Fax	(530) 841-4529	
E-mail Address	maxcarr@fs.fed.us					

b. Authorized Representative

Name	Patricia Grantham					
Title	Forest Supervisor					
Mailing Address	1312 Fairlane Rd.					
City	Yreka	State	CA	Zip	96097	
Telephone	(530) 841-4502			Fax		
E-mail Address	pagrantham@fs.fed.us					

c. Project Administrator

Name	Carol Ballow					
Title	Others					
Mailing Address	1312 Fairlane Road					
City	Yreka	State	CA	Zip	96097	
Telephone	(530) 841-4579			Fax	(530) 841-4529	
E-mail Address	cballow@fs.fed.us					

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[Location Map Klamath/Modoc Patrol zone](#)

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☒ Yes ☐ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number
1	All Terrain Vehicle/OHV	Polaris	Sportsman	2010	4xamh50a1ab048703	G08-02-26-L01
2	All Terrain Vehicle/OHV	Polaris	Sportsman	2010	4xamh50a3aa004336	G08-02-26-L01
3	Snowmobile	Polaris	RMK-600	2010	SN1PK6HS0AC85075	G08-02-26-L01
4	Snowmobile	Polaris	RMK-600	2010	SN1PK6HSXAC850734	G08-02-26-L01

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/01/2010]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/01/2010]
- ☒ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

Forest provided the following opportunities for public involvement: 1) wrote a news release and sent to seven newspapers and two radio stations, with courtesy copies to Siskiyou County Sheriff and Cal Fire. The release was published in two newspapers. 2) Copies of all three Forest grant applications (A-12, Humbug, Law Enforcement) were available at the Goosenest Ranger District and Supervisors Office front desks for public review. 3) The news release was published on the Forest web page on 03/01/10.

One comment received by letter stated that they felt using public lands to accomodate a small segment of society was wrong, they felt that nature should be protected for all of those to appreciate it. They supported anything that is conducive to peace, tranquility and the preservation of nature.

One e-mail comment stated they support the grant however they have concerns that the grant is too heavy on compliance and more education should be incorporated. They were further concerned that the officers supported by green sticker funds will be citing users whose green sticker funds were used to reduce access to areas previously open for use.

One e-mail comment received stated that they agreed with the above statements.

One comment stated that they don't support the grant and would rather have little to no enforcement.

One comment received stated that they support enforcement of riding regulations, however have reservations for the grant to target areas where green sticker funds shouldn't pay for enforcement in areas closed to OHV use. They were also concerned about public education by LEO's.

One e-mail comment stated that focus should be placed on catching the "willfully ignorant" visitor.

One e-mail comment stated that they support the grant however are concerned that it is heavy on compliance and officers who are supported by green sticker funds will be citing users whose green sticker funds were used to reduce access areas previously open for use.

One e-mail comment from the same writer as above stated they were opposed to providing OHV money to the USFS to close trails, and suggested giving money to groups that enhance OHV opportunity like the BLM.

One e-mail comment stated that they support the grant however have reservations that it's heavy on complainece and green sticker funds will be used to reduce access previously open for use.

One e-mail comment received stated that they whole heartedly object giving state money to a federal agency to close public lands.

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes mades to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☐ Yes ☒ No Yes or No)
- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions: ☒
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:
2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700330

- 1. Written Agreement with Land Manager**
- 2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**